UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Justino Sierra-Reyes	Case Number: _11-02159M-001		
In accorda present a detention	ance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C nd was represented by counsel. I conclu of the defendant pending trial in this cas	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on February 23, 2011. Defendant was de by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the e.		
	preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT		
2	The defendant is not a citizen of	he United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
Þ	_	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
Σ	If released herein, the defenda Enforcement, placing him/her bey or otherwise removed.	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources i to assure his/her future appearan	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty as substantial family ties to Mexico.	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evad	e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
		m of years imprisonment.		
Th at the time	ne Court incorporates by reference the many of the hearing in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court noted in the record.		
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1.	There is a serious risk that the de	endant will flee.		
2.	No condition or combination of co	nditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.		
	DIREC	TIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
a correction appeal. The of the United	ns facility separate, to the extent practica ne defendant shall be afforded a reasona ed States or on request of an attorney for	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ble, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending ble opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the bose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
	APPEA	LS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
IT deliver a co Court.	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of to ppy of the motion for review/reconsiderat	his detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District		
Services si	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a releas ufficiently in advance of the hearing bef the potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
		The state of the s		
DATE: F	ebruary 23, 2011			
		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge		